

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Weshington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET ND.
07/43	1,429 1:	/03/89 COMAI	L (1GN8621

ELIZABETH LASSEN CALGENE, INC. 1920 FIFTH STREET DAVIS, CA 95616

EXA	MINER
RHODE	181 <b>, P</b>
ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
180	14 /3

03/10/92

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

## ADVISORY ACTION

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:
e) or continues to run from the date of the final rejection
b) a expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened stetutory period for response or as set forth in b) ebove.
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 2 4 July 1997 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for ellowance:
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:
e. There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.
e. They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed it submitted in a separetely filed amendment cancelling the non-ellowable claims.</li> </ol>
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed ariffendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:
Claims ellowed:
Claims objected to:
However:
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s): all pending rejections under 35 USC 1/2,
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been consideration has been consideration.
attemptet distinguish among FMV strain, not the simular disclosed.
and clarmed in Strong as tought by private so nothing gapeworks unexpected
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.  This promote formula shown described properties.
Contraction of the contraction o
☐ Other ☐ Other ☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner. ☐ Clipabeth C. Weiman
ELIZABETH C. WEIMAR SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
PTOL-303 (REV. 5-89) ART UNIT 184